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## North Saami orthography

- Norway and Sweden (1948)
- Finland (1934, revised 1951)
- Common orthography 1978 (revised 1980-85)


## Research on misspellings

Material

- 135 texts (40,736 words) from Internet 2010-2012
- formal texts
- max. 6\% misspellings
- $15,5 \%$ written in Finland

Antonsen 2013: Cállinmeattáhusaid guorran. [English summary: Tracking misspellings.]

## Annotation and testing

- Annotated both nonword errors and real-word errors
- due to unclear norm for separate writing of compounds, I have not looked at this issue
- Evaluation with Divvun spellchecker version 2013
- isolated-word error correction

Moshagen 2008: A language technology test bench - automatized testing in the Divvun project.

## Phonotactics: The position of misspellings


beatnagat $=$ dogs

## Divvun spellchecker

\author{

Mon lean bárgán visot sámi bargguid, ja mon <br> \begin{tabular}{l}
bargán <br>
bargan <br>
bárán <br>
Gárgán <br>
Várgán <br>
Ignore <br>
Ignore All <br>
Add <br>

\hline | AutoCorrect |
| :--- |
| Spelling... | <br>

\hline
\end{tabular}

}

- North and Lule Saami versions 2007
- South Saami version 2010
- A new North Saami version 2013
- Target group is L1


## Divvun spellchecker evaluation

4\% of the words are misspelled

- detects misspellings: 78\%
- problem: real-word errors
- gives correct suggestion among the first five ones: 82\%


## $\left\llcorner_{2}\right.$. Evaluation of spellchecker

-Giving correct suggestion

## Correct suggestion vs. edit distance

| Correct <br> suggestion | Average <br> edit distance |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1. | 1.13 | 959 | $65.2 \%$ |
| $2-5$. | 1.20 | 253 | $17.2 \%$ |
| $6-17$. | 1.50 | 14 | $1.8 \%$ |
| - | 1.80 | 232 | $15.8 \%$ |
|  |  | 1458 | $100 \%$ |

## Phonological rules in the spellchecker

misspelled word $>$ suggestions with edit distance
ie $>$ ea
dearpmi $=$ hill NSg Scc/Gen
dierpmi $>$ dearpmi $^{(2)}$, dearbmi $^{(3)}$, dierpmá $^{(1)}$, fierpmi ${ }^{(1)}$, jierpmi ${ }^{(1)}$
filkkas > fikkas ${ }^{(1)}$, Hilkkas ${ }^{(1)}$, bilkkas ${ }^{(1)}$, fiŋkkas ${ }^{(1)}$, fiškkas ${ }^{(1)}$, fylkkas ${ }^{(1)}, \ldots$
fylkkas $=$ county N Sg Loc
lacking i>y
-Giving correct suggestion

## Change of initial letter

| ohppat | ohppet | 1 | 1. (245) dohppat <br> 2. (245) gohppat <br> 3. (245) kohppat <br> 4. (245) oahppat <br> 5. (245) rohppat <br> 6. (245) tohppat <br> 7. (244) ohppet |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |

ohppet $=$ to learn Prs PI3
-Giving correct suggestion
Hyphens

- no correct suggestions for 94 words with edit distance 1
- $28 \%$ of them have hyphens


## Overgeneration, e.g. compounds with proper nouns

| Lutherlaš | Luteralaš | 2 | 1. (235) Luther-Olaš <br> 2. (235) Luther-Álaš <br> 3. (235) Luthera <br> 4. (235) Ohterlaš <br> 5. (234) Luther-Maš <br> 6. (234) Lutherat <br> 7. (232) Luhtetlaš <br> 8. (231) Luther-Aláš <br> 9. (231) Luther-Oláš <br> 10. (231) Luther-aláš <br> 11. (231) Luther-oláš <br> 12. (231) Luther-áláš <br> 13. (230) Bothelaš <br> 14. (229) Luther-Leaš <br> 15. (229) Luteralaš |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |

Luteralaš $=$ Lutheran

## -2. Evaluation of spellchecker

-Detecting misspelling

## Why use FST and not collect words from texts?

New Testament: frequency of forms of the verb dadjat $=$ to say

|  | Indikatiiva preseansa |  | Indikatiiva preterihtta |  | Konditionála |  | Potentiála |  | Imperativa |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sg1 | dajan | 4 | dadjen | 0 | dajašin | 1 | dajažan | 0 | dadjon | 0 |
| Sg2 | dajat | 5 | dadjet | X | dajašit | 0 | dajažat | 0 | daja | x |
| Sg3 | dadjá | 42 | dajai | 204 | dajašii | 4 | dajaža | 0 | dadjos | 0 |
| Dul | dadje | 182 | dajaime | 0 | dajašeimme | 0 | dajažetne | 0 | daddju | 0 |
| Du2 | dadjabeahtti | 1 | dajaide | 0 | dajašeidde | 0 | dajažeahppi | 0 | daddji | 4 |
| Du3 | dadjaba | 0 | dajaiga | 7 | dajašeigga | 0 | dajažeaba | 0 | dadjoska | 0 |
| Pl1 | dadjat | 45 | dajaimet | 1 | dajašeimmet | 0 | dajažit | 0 | dadjot | 0 |
| P12 | dadjabehtet | 24 | dajaidet | 0 | dajašeiddet | 2 | dajažehpet | 0 | daddjet | 0 |
| Pl3 | dadjet | 47 | dadje | 182 | dajašedje | 0 | dajažit | X | dadjoset | 0 |
| Neg | daja | 3 |  |  | dajaše | 1 | dajaš | 1 | daja | x |
|  | Infinitiiva |  | Aktio essiiva |  | Perf.part. |  | Vearbaabessiiva |  | Gerunda |  |
|  | dadjat | x | dadjamin | 1 | dadjan | 14 | dajakeahttá | 0 | dajadettiin | 0 |

Solution: We generate forms with FST.
Are all our generated forms in use in the language?

- Detecting misspelling


## Real-word errors

Solution: We generate forms with FST.
Are all our generated forms in use in the language?

Frequency of nouns with possessive suffixes in a corpus of 10 mill. words (prose, New Testament and newspapers)

Antonsen \& Janda: Oamastanráhkadusat davvisámi girjjálašvuođas. [English summary: Possessive constructions in North Saami prose.].

## $L_{2}$. Evaluation of spellchecker

-Detecting misspelling

## Of 12,430 nouns with possessive suffixes ( Px )



## $L_{2}$. Evaluation of spellchecker

-Detecting misspelling
Essive with possessive suffix: 6 nouns

|  | Px Essive, Sg = PI |  |
| :--- | :--- | :---: |
|  | example | found in corpus |
| Sg1 | beallinan |  |
| Sg2 | beallinat |  |
| Sg3 | beallinis | 6 |
| Du1 | beallineame |  |
| Du2 | beallineatte |  |
| Du3 | beallineaskka |  |
| PI1 | beallineamet |  |
| PI2 | beallineattet |  |
| PI3 | beallineaset |  |

bealli $=$ half

## 2. Evaluation of spellchecker

-Detecting misspelling

## Nominatives with possessive suffix: 204 are diminutives

|  | Sg Nom |  | PI Nom |  |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :--- | :---: |
|  | example | in corpus | example | in corpus |
| Sg1 | mánážan | 199 | mánážiiddán | 4 |
| Sg1 | mánážat |  | mánážiiddát |  |
| Sg1 | mánážis |  | mánážiiddis |  |
| Du1 | mánážeame |  | mánážiiddáme |  |
| Du2 | mánážeatte |  | mánážiiddáde |  |
| Du3 | mánážeaskka |  | mánážiiddiska |  |
| PI1 | mánážeamet | 1 | mánážiiddámet |  |
| PI2 | mánážeattet |  | mánážiiddádet |  |
| PI3 | mánážeaset |  | mánážiiddiset |  |

used as vocative, also nouns as flower, star..
203 Sg1 ex. = my dear little child/children
1 PI1 Áhčážeamet = our dear Father NT

## $L_{2 \text { 2. Evaluation of spellchecker }}$

-Detecting misspelling
Nominatives with possessive suffix, except diminutive Sg 1

|  | Sg Nom | PI Nom |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Sg1 | 126 Human, 2 Bodypart, "broom" | 25 Human, <br> 1 Animal |
| Sg2 | 57 Human, "life, future" |  |
| Sg3 | 31 Human |  |
| Du1-3 | - |  |
| PI1 | 61 Human, <br> "journey, language, identity, philosophy" | 2 Human |
| PI2 | 12 Human |  |
| PI3 | 1 Human |  |

## -2. Evaluation of spellchecker

-Detecting misspelling

## Nouns nominative, except diminutive Sg 1

|  | Sg Nom | PI Nom |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Sg1 | 126 Human, 2 Bodypart, "broom" <br> homonym Acc/Gen | 25 Human, <br> 1 Animal <br> homonym A/G |
| Sg2 | 57 Human, "life, future" | homonym A/G <br> Sg3 <br> Du1-331 Human <br> (Limited in 2013 version) |
| Pl1 | 61 Human, <br> "journey, language, identity, philosophy" <br> homonym A/G |  |
| Pl2 | 12 Human | homonym A/G Human <br> homonym A/G |
| PI3 | 1 Human (Limited in 2013 version) | homonym A/G |

## -2. Evaluation of spellchecker

-Detecting misspelling

## Nouns nominative, except diminutive Sg 1

|  | Sg Nom | PI Nom |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Sg1 | 126 Human, 2 Bodypart, "broom" <br> homonym A/G | 25 Human, <br> 1 Animal <br> homonym A/G |
| Sg2 | 57 Human, "life, future" | homonym A/G |
| Sg3 | 31 Human <br> (Limited in 2013 version) | homonym A/G <br> homonym A/G |
| Du1-3 | - | homonym A/G |
| PI1 | 61 Human, <br> "journey, language, identity, philosophy" | 2 Human <br> homonym A/G |
| Pl2 | 12 Human | homonym A/G |
| PI3 | 1 Human (Limited in 2013 version) | homonym A/G |

## $L_{2 \text { 2. Evaluation of spellchecker }}$

- Detecting misspelling


## Possible limitations on generation of Sg Nom PxSg2

1. Limit Nom PxSg 2 to Human:
bárrot (bárru $+\mathrm{N}+\mathrm{Sg}+\mathrm{Nom}+\mathrm{PxSg} 2$ )
$\rightarrow$ bárrut $=$ wave N PI Nom
2. Remove all Nom Px for derivations which are not lexicalized jávkát (jávkat+V+Der/NomAg+N+Sg+Nom+PxSg2) $\rightarrow$ jávkat $=$ to disappear V Inf
3. Remove Nom Px for humans, which don't belong to close relations
turistat (turista $+\mathrm{N}+\mathrm{Sg}+\mathrm{Nom}+\mathrm{PxSg} 2$ )
$\rightarrow$ turisttat $=$ tourist N PI Nom

## Limitations on generation of adjectives with possessive suffix

- Full Px generation gives 270 extra forms ( 90 for positive, 90 for comparative, 90 for superlative)
- Corpus of 19 mill words*:

6 adjectives, all positive: buorre, ipmilbalolaš, ráhkis, vistelágaš, láhkásaš, ovddeš

- 1 adjective superlative with Px : buoremusaset, buoremusaideaset (buoremus) $=$ best


## Limitations on generation of adjectives with possessive suffix

- Full Px generation gives 270 extra forms ( 90 for positive, 90 for comparative, 90 for superlative)
- Corpus of 19 mill words*:

6 adjectives, all positive: buorre, ipmilbalolaš, ráhkis, vistelágaš, láhkásaš, ovddeš

- 1 adjective superlative with Px: buoremusaset, buoremusaideaset (buoremus) $=$ best

Adj Px was very limited in 2013 version of Divvun
*corpus at UiT, owned by the Saami parliament

- Detecting misspelling


## Verb genitive covers misspelled verbs

Verb genitive is an adverbial form of the verb and is found in corpus for appr. 60 verbs:

- movement verbs, verbal verbs
- some expressions with postposition: giving birth, die, eat, work
- some other expressions: finish, win
- Detecting misspelling


## Verb genitive covers misspelled verbs

Verb genitive is an adverbial form of the verb and is found in corpus for appr. 60 verbs:

- movement verbs, verbal verbs
- some expressions with postposition: giving birth, die, eat, work
- some other expressions: finish, win

Covers frequent misspellings like

- V negation form dáhtu $\rightarrow$ dáhto $=$ to desire Negation form
- Prt Sg3

$$
\text { sáhti } \rightarrow \text { sáhtii }=\text { be able to Prt Sg3 }
$$

-Detecting misspelling

## Verb ConNegll covers misspelled verbs

Verb ConNegll can be used after the imperative negation, but is only found in the bible.
In New Testament: 9 verbs, only one is bisyllabic
atno from atnit $=$ to use
Allos oktage atno mu jallan. = Let no one take me for a fool.

## Verb ConNegll covers misspelled verbs

Verb ConNegll can be used after the imperative negation, but is only found in the bible.
In New Testament: 9 verbs, only one is bisyllabic atno from atnit $=$ to use Allos oktage atno mu jallan. = Let no one take me for a fool.

Covers frequent misspellings like

- dahko $\rightarrow$ dahkko $=$ to be done Prs Sg3
- bidjo $\rightarrow$ biddjo $=$ to be put Prs Sg3
- dáhpáhuvvo $\rightarrow$ dáhpáhuvvá $=$ to happen Prs Sg3

Letecting misspelling

## Verb Imperative Sg 1 covers misspelled verbs

Verb Imperative Sg 1 is found in corpus for only one author: 5 verbs
-Detecting misspelling

## Verb Imperative Sg1 covers misspelled verbs

Verb Imperative Sg 1 is found in corpus for only one author: 5 verbs
Covers frequent misspellings like

- atnon $\rightarrow$ adnon (atnit) $=$ to be used/regarded as PrfPrc
- dahkon $\rightarrow$ dahkkon (dahkat) $=$ to be done PrfPrc


## In a corpus of 19 mill. words

Number of undetected misspellings, covered by non-existing forms

1. Sg Nominative PxSg2, appr. 2500
2. Imperative Sg 3 , appr. 1740
3. Imperative Sg1, appr. 1230
4. Der/NomAg Px: appr. 1100
5. Verb genitive, appr. 760
6. ConNegII, appr. 430
7. Essive Px , appr. 420
8. Px and Imperative Sg 1 , appr. 220

## Conclusion

- North Saami spellchecker:
- Detects the misspelling: 78\%
- Gives correct suggestion among the first five: $82 \%$
- Phonotactics is important
- Too often suggestions like:
- change initial letter
- compounds with proper noun
- words with hyphen
- Dealing with overgeneration => a big potential for improvements both for recognizing the misspellings and for giving the correct suggestion
- This is relevant also for other spellcheckers based on FST
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